

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE
AT WINCHESTER

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	
)	Case No. 4:02-CR-31
vs.)	
)	
CHARLES D. DALY)	JUDGE EDGAR

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

The defendant appeared for a hearing before the undersigned on April 7, 2009, in accordance with Rule 32.1 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure on the Petition for Warrant for Offender Under Supervision of U.S. Probation Officer Doug Corn and the Warrant for Arrest issued by U.S. District Judge R. Allan Edgar. Those present for the hearing included:

- (1) AUSA Scott Winne for the USA.
- (2) The defendant, CHARLES D. DALY.
- (3) Attorney Mary Ellen Coleman for defendant.
- (4) Courtroom Deputy Kelli Jones.

After being sworn in due form of law the defendant was informed or reminded of his privilege against self-incrimination accorded him under the 5th Amendment to the United States Constitution.

It was determined the defendant wished to be represented by an attorney and he qualifies for the appointment of an attorney to represent him at government expense. Attorney Mary Ellen Coleman of Federal Defender Services of Eastern Tennessee, Inc. was appointed to represent the defendant. It was determined the defendant had been provided with a copy of the Petition for Warrant for Offender Under Supervision and the Warrant for Arrest and had the opportunity of reviewing those documents with Atty. Coleman. It was also determined the defendant was capable of being able to read and understand the copy of the aforesaid documents he had been provided.

Defendant waived his right to a preliminary hearing and detention hearing.

AUSA Winne moved defendant be detained without bail pending his revocation hearing before U.S. District Judge R. Allan Edgar.

Findings

- (1) Based upon U.S. Probation Officer Corn's petition and defendant's waiver of preliminary hearing and detention hearing, the undersigned finds there is probable

cause to believe defendant has committed violations of his conditions of supervised release as alleged in the petition.

Conclusions

_____ It is ORDERED:

(1) The defendant shall be appear in a revocation hearing before U.S. District Judge R. Allan Edgar.

(2) The motion of AUSA Winne that defendant be DETAINED WITHOUT BAIL pending his revocation hearing before Judge Edgar is GRANTED.

(3) The U.S. Marshal shall transport defendant to a revocation hearing before Judge Edgar on **Wednesday, April 8, 2009, at 2:00 p.m.**

ENTER.

Dated: April 7, 2009

s/William B. Mitchell Carter

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE